UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Eastern District of Michigan

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
Leroy Blakey	Case Number: 05-80589
Defendant	
	J.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the
detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.	
or local offense that would have been a a crime of violence as defined in 18 an offense for which the maximum	Part I—Findings of Fact e described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a ☐ federal offense ☐ state federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed - that is U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4). sentence is life imprisonment or death. rm of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in *
§ 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable (2) The offense described in finding (1) was (3) A period of not more than five years has for the offense described in finding (1). (4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a	the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. state or local offenses. The committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense. The elapsed since the date of conviction release of the defendant from imprisonment a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the mmunity. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption. Alternative Findings (A)
(1) There is probable cause to believe that the	he defendant has committed an offense
under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	mption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure red and the safety of the community. Alternative Findings (B)
(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear. (2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.	
Defendant failed to comply with his on notifying probation in violation of his	drug treatment program and continued use of alcohol and drugs and moved without supervised release conditions.
Part II—Written Statement of Reasons for Detention I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence a prepon-	
derance of the evidence that	
Defendant has failed to establish by clear and convincing evidence that he will no flee or pose a danger to the community by continued drug use. CR.32.1, uncooporating Rule 46(C) AND 18usc 3143.	
The defendant is committed to the custody of the to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting reasonable opportunity for private consultation when the custody of the c	Part III—Directions Regarding Detention ne Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the ns facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance
December 22, 2005	s/Steven D. Pepe
Date Signature of Judge	
Steven D. Pepe United States Magistrate Judge Name and Title of Judge	

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).